Regulation of the Transport of Radioactive Materials

George Sallit

Transport Radiological Advisor / Dept for Transport

PATRAM 2010

5 October 2010



Basic Functions of Regulatory Body

- Develop and enact a set of appropriate, comprehensive and sound regulations
- Verify compliance with such regulations
- In the event of a departure from licensing conditions, malpractice or wrongdoing by those persons/organizations under regulatory oversight, to enforce the established regulations by imposing the appropriate corrective measures.

UK Statutory Code of Practice for Regulators

- Regulators should recognise that a key element of their activity will be to allow, or even encourage, economic progress and only to intervene when there is a clear case for protection.
- Regulators, and the regulatory system as a whole, should use comprehensive risk assessment to concentrate resources in the areas that need them most.
- Regulators should provide authoritative, accessible advice easily and cheaply.
- No inspection should take place without a reason.

UK Statutory Code of Practice for Regulators

- Businesses should not have to give unnecessary information or give the same piece of information twice.
- The few businesses that persistently break regulations should be identified quickly and face proportionate and meaningful sanctions.
- Regulators should be accountable for the efficiency and effectiveness of their activities, while remaining independent in the decisions they take.

UK Approach to Audit/Inspection

- Identify Duty Holders Holding Radioactive Material
- Send duty holder questionnaire
- Prioritise inspection programme using risk model
- Carry out desk top based audits
- Carry out inspections
- Identify themes and trends
- Feedback findings to stakeholders and make changes to inspection programme

UK Approach to Audit/Inspection

Inspection

- 2,500 Duty Holders, 750 regularly transport radioactive material.
- 75 duty holders inspected every year (on average duty holders inspected once in every 10 years)

Audit

- Every significant company every seven years
- Significant size and influence in sector

UK Approach to Audit/Inspection

- Compliance inspection is carried out using pro-forma
- Security inspection carried out using pro-forma
- Findings are discussed with duty holder at the end of the inspection so there are no surprises
- Inspector will formally write to duty holder with the findings and timescales for any remedial actions to be completed (target for formal letter is 10 working days)
- All inspectors have enforcement powers under the HSWA

Regulatory Approach

- Coercive Regime
 - Compliance with regulations is paramount
 - All non compliances demand regulatory response
 - Duty Holders are assumed to try to evade detection
- Balanced Regulatory Compliance
 - Compliance with regulations is very important
 - Inspectors judgement is used for minor infringements
 - Proportionate regulatory response

Regulatory Approach

- Collaborative Regulation
 - Working together to achieve compliance
 - Good understanding of each others viewpoint and requirements
 - No surprises
 - Regulatory actions is seen as action of last resort
- Embedded Regulators
 - Regulators part of the team that achieves the overall objectives
 - All the team responsible for achieving objectives (including regulators)
 - Reside in the same office as the duty holders

Coercive Regime

- Useful for smaller volatile businesses
- Very clear understanding of the rules and their application, little judgement/experience needed
- Very consistent
- Seen as old fashioned and inflexible approach
- Overly bureaucratic

Balanced Regulatory Compliance

- Proportionate response to minor regulatory non compliances
- Regulatory action still regarded as a reasonable response to non compliances
- Rigid approach, not flexible to dynamic business conditions

Collaborative Regulation

- Good understanding of each others' views
- Proportionate response but regulatory action only on significant issues
- Regulators understand the business objectives and assist and advice in achieving them without compromising independence
- Regulatory action seen as failure by both parties

Embedded Regulators

- Seamless operation with a one team approach to achieving objectives
- Appropriate for singular situations such as emergencies or intolerable risk scenarios
- Regulatory independence at significant risk
- Difficult to inspire public confidence in the regulatory process
- Regulatory action very unlikely